

Bayes Estimators for the Parameters of Truncated Campbell Distribution Using Lindley's Approximation

Najm Abed Oleiwi ¹, Emad Farhood Muhi ^{2,*}, Najlaa. A. Al-khairullah ¹

¹*Department of Mathematics, Education College, University of Sumer, Iraq*

²*Department of Accounting Techniques, ThiQar Technical College, Southern Technical University, ThiQar, Iraq*

Abstract In this research, the Campbell distribution (maximum value) was truncated by deleting a part of the distribution domain so that the distribution function maintains its probability properties, to obtain the truncated Campbell distribution (maximum value) (TC). Also, the maximum likelihood function (MLE) and Bayes estimators for the scale and location parameters were derived using the Lindley approximation by taking different loss functions, which are the squared loss function (SEL) and the general entropy function (GEL). We also used the simulation method to generate many sample sizes ($n= 10, 60, 120, 150$) with many different values of the scale parameter and the location parameter, and the estimators were compared using the mean square error (MSE) measure.

Keywords Campbell distribution, Truncated Campbell distribution, Maximum likelihood estimates, Bayesian estimation, Lindley's approximation.

DOI: 10.19139/soic-2310-5070-2423

1. Introduction

The importance of truncated distributions appears in many scientific and applied fields, especially in Bayesian statistics and probabilistic programming to impose non-linear or physical constraints on parameters, as well as in reliability, for example, when analyzing devices within a specific time period. The statistical model of the output of the ensemble model based on the distribution of generalized truncated extreme values to calibrate the ensemble wind speed forecasts. Peng and Wan (2022) [13] presented the estimation of the truncation point in truncated normal samples and its applications. Peng and Wan (2022) [14] presented the estimation of truncation points for the truncated normal distribution. Cao et al. (2014)[15] presented the truncated distribution of the link travel time. Horrace,(2015) [16] introduced the moments of the truncated normal distribution. Nadarajah, (2009) [17], presented some truncated distribution. Aryuyuen (2018)[18] , introduced an application for the truncated Lindley distribution. Kouadria and Halim,(2025) [19], presented the truncated new XLindley distribution. Beirlant et al. (2016)[20], introduced the truncated and non-truncated Pareto distribution. Hassan et al. (2020)[21] , presented the properties and estimation for the new family of upper truncated distributions. Zhang, et al. (2011)[22] , introduced an upper truncated Weibull distribution. Chopin (2011)[23] derived the truncated Gaussian distributions. Krenek et al. (2017)[24] presented truncated normal and truncated skew normal distributions. Krishnakumari, and Dais (2024)[25] , introduced a new truncated distribution: properties and application.

*Correspondence to: Emad Farhood Muhi (Email:emad.alshareefi@stu.edu.iq). Department of Accounting Techniques, ThiQar Technical College, Southern Technical University, ThiQar, Iraq (64001).

2. Campbell Distribution

The Campbell distribution (CD) has been introduced by Emil Julius Campbell [6]. The (GD) can be used to forecast the likelihood of an extreme earthquake, flood, or other natural disaster. Then the probability density function (pdf) and the cumulative distribution function (cdf) are defined by [1],[3],[7].

$$G(x) = 1 - \exp\left(-e^{\frac{(x-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right); -\infty < x < \infty \quad (1)$$

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left[-e^{\frac{(x-\beta)}{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right] \beta, \text{ location (real)}, \alpha > 0, \text{ scale (real)} \quad (2)$$

3. Truncated Campbell TC Distribution

The concept of truncation is an important concept in probability distributions because of its importance in aligning the probability distribution with the study population. A left-truncated Campbell distribution is formed if the Campbell distribution is truncated only to the left. In this case (cdf) and (pdf) are given by.

$$F(x) = \frac{G(x) - G(0)}{G(\infty) - G(0)}; \quad 0 < x < \infty \quad (3)$$

$$f(x) = \frac{f(x)}{G(\infty) - G(0)}; 0 \leq x < \infty \quad (4)$$

By substituting equation 1 into equations 3, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{TG}(x) &= \frac{1 - \exp\left(-e^{\frac{(x-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right) - \left(1 - \exp\left(-e^{\frac{(0-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right)\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-e^{\frac{(\infty-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right) - \left(1 - \exp\left(-e^{\frac{(0-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right)\right)} \\ &= \frac{1 - \exp\left(-e^{\frac{(x-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right) - 1 + \exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)}{1 - 0 - \left(1 - \exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)\right)} \\ &= \frac{-\exp\left(-e^{\frac{(x-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right) + \exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} \\ &= \frac{-\exp\left(-e^{\frac{(x-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right) + \exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} \\ &= \frac{-\exp\left(-e^{\frac{(x-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} + \frac{\exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} \\ &= \frac{-\exp\left(-e^{\frac{(x-\beta)}{\alpha}}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Then the (cdf) of the TC distribution is described as follows:

$$F_{TG}(x) = 1 - \frac{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)}; \quad 0 < x < \infty \quad (5)$$

By substituting equation 2 into equations 4, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left[-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right]}{1 - \exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{\infty-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right) - \left(1 - \exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{0-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)\right)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left[-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right]}{1 - 0 - 1 + \exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

Then the (pdf) of the TC distribution is described of follows:

$$f(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left[-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right]}{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)}; \quad 0 \leq x < \infty \quad (6)$$

The reliability function of the TC distribution is described of follows:

$$R_{TG}(x) = 1 - F_{TEE}(x) = \frac{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)} \quad (7)$$

The failure rate function of the TC distribution is formulated as:

$$h_{TG}(x) = \frac{f_{TG}(x)}{R_{TG}(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left[-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right]}{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)} \quad (8)$$

The cumulative hazard rate function of the TC distribution is given as

$$H_{TG}(x) = -\ln R_{TG}(x) \quad (9)$$

The reverse hazard rate function of the TC distribution is given as

$$\xi_{TG}(x) = \frac{f(x)}{F(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left[-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right]}{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right) - \exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)} \quad (10)$$

The odds ratio is useful in assessing the risk of a particular outcome when a particular factor is present. There for the Odds function of the TC distribution is given as

$$O_{TG}(x) = \frac{F_{TG}(x)}{R_{TG}(x)} = \frac{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right) - \exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)} \quad (11)$$

The Quantile Function is given in equation of the following:

$$u = F(x) \Rightarrow u = 1 - \frac{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\left(\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}\right)} \Rightarrow x = Q(u) = \beta + \alpha \ln\left(e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} - \ln(1-u)\right) \quad (12)$$

***rth* Distribution**

4. The r^{th} Moment of TC Distribution

The moment r^{th} of TC distribution can be derived as:

$$E(x^r)_{TG} = \int_0^\infty x^r f(x)_{TGD} dx = \int_0^\infty x^r \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp \left[-e^{\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha} \right)} + \left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha} \right) \right]}{\exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} dx \quad (13)$$

Using the transformation $z = \frac{x-\beta}{\alpha} \Rightarrow x = \alpha z + \beta \Rightarrow dx = \alpha dz$, then

$$E(x^r)_{TG} = \int_0^\infty (\alpha z + \beta)^r \frac{\exp[-e^z + z]}{\exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} dZ = \int_0^\infty (\alpha z + \beta)^r \frac{e^z \exp[-e^z]}{\exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} dZ \quad (14)$$

and based on See [8]

$$\begin{aligned} e^z &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} z^k \\ E(x^r)_{TG} &= \int_0^\infty (\alpha z + \beta)^r \frac{e^z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-e^z)^k}{k!}}{\exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} dZ \\ &= \int_0^\infty (\alpha z + \beta)^r \frac{e^z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (e^z)^k}{k!}}{\exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} dZ \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} \int_0^\infty (\alpha z + \beta)^r e^{(1+k)z} dZ \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using the Newton Binomial series, $(a+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k$, $n \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E(x^r)_{TG} &= \sum_{k,i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} \int_0^\infty \binom{r}{i} (\alpha z)^{r-i} \beta^i e^{(1+k)z} dZ \\ &= \sum_{k,i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} \int_0^\infty \binom{r}{i} \alpha^{r-i} z^{r-i} \beta^i e^{(1+k)z} dZ \end{aligned}$$

Let $w = -(1+k)z \rightarrow z = \frac{-w}{(1+k)} \rightarrow dz = \left| \frac{-dw}{(1+k)} \right|$

$$\begin{aligned} E(x^r)_{TG} &= \sum_{k,i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} \int_0^\infty \binom{r}{i} \alpha^{r-i} \left(\frac{-w}{(1+k)} \right)^{r-i} \beta^i e^{-w} \frac{dw}{(1+k)} \\ &= \sum_{k,i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} \int_0^\infty \binom{r}{i} \alpha^{r-i} \frac{(-1)^{r-i} w^{r-i}}{(1+k)^{r-i}} \beta^i e^{-w} \frac{dw}{(1+k)} \\ &= \sum_{k,i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+r-i} \beta^i \alpha^{r-i} \binom{r}{i}}{k! (1+k)^{r+i+1} \exp \left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \right)} \int_0^\infty w^{r-i} e^{-w} dw \end{aligned}$$

$$E(x^r)_{TG} = \sum_{k,i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+r-i} \beta^i \alpha^{r-i} \binom{r}{i}}{k! (1+k)^{r+i+1} \exp\left(-e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} \int_0^{\infty} w^{r-i} e^{-w} dw$$

Then the r^{th} Moment of the TC Distribution is:

$$E(x^r)_{TG} = \sum_{k,i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+r-i} \beta^i \alpha^{r-i}}{k! (1+k)^{r+i+1} \exp\left(-e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} \Gamma(r-i+1) \quad (16)$$

5. Estimation Methods

5.1. Maximum likelihood estimator

If $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be a random sample of size n from the TC distribution with parameters α, β , then the maximum likelihood function ($\mathcal{L}$) for observations is given in the following formula.

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta|x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left[-e^{\left(\frac{x_i-\beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x_i-\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right]}{\exp\left(-e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta|x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n \left(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-e^{\left(\frac{x_i-\beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x_i-\beta}{\alpha}\right)\right]\right)}{\exp\left(-e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} \quad (17)$$

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta|x) = -n \ln(\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-e^{\left(\frac{x_i-\beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x_i-\beta}{\alpha}\right) \right] + e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta|x)_{TGD}}{\partial \alpha} = -\frac{n}{\alpha} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left(\left((\beta - x_i) e^{\frac{x_i-\beta}{\alpha}} - \beta + x_i \right) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - \beta \right)}{\alpha^2} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta|x)_{TGD}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{x_i-\beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha} - \frac{e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad (20)$$

The maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters: α_{ML} and β_{ML} , are obtained by numerically solving the nonlinear equations $\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta|x)_{TEV}}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta|x)_{TEV}}{\partial \beta} = 0$

In this case the best method in numerical analysis is Newton-Raphson method, since it is a method for finding successively better approximations to the roots of a real-valued function, then an iterative step is a technique of sequential approximations, and each approximation is called iteration.

5.2. Bayes estimation

The Bayesian method of estimation relies on the intuition of dealing with parameters as random variables that requires obtaining prior information about them, and this prior information can be formulated in the form of a prior probability distribution. The Gamma distribution was chosen as an a priori distribution because it is flexible and mathematically compatible with many distributions, especially exponential ones.

Consider that the prior distributions of α and β of TC are taken to be independent distributions with pdf.

$$v_1(\alpha) = \frac{b^k}{\Gamma(k)} \alpha^{k-1} e^{-b\alpha} \quad (21)$$

$$v_2(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \quad (22)$$

Thus, the joint prior distribution for β and α is:

$$v(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{b^k}{\beta \Gamma(k)} \alpha^{k-1} e^{-b\alpha} \quad (23)$$

$$\Pi(\alpha, \beta | x) \propto \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta | x) \cdot v(\alpha, \beta) = C \cdot \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta | x) \cdot v(\alpha, \beta) \quad (24)$$

where $C^{-1} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta | x) \cdot v(\alpha, \beta) \cdot d(\alpha, \beta)$

Substituting (23) and (30) in (31) we get the posterior density function $\Pi(\alpha, \beta)$ as

$$\Pi(\alpha, \beta | x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n \left(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-e^{\left(\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}\right) \right] \right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} \frac{b^k}{\beta \Gamma(k)} \alpha^{k-1} e^{-b\alpha} \quad (25)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^n \left(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-e^{\left(\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}\right) \right] \right)}{\exp\left(-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}\right)} \frac{b^k}{\beta \Gamma(k)} \alpha^{k-1} e^{-b\alpha} d(\alpha, \beta)$$

5.2.1. Bayes Estimator by using Lindley Approximation Lindley's approximation is an important analytical tool in Bayesian statistics, and many researchers have used it to estimate integral ratios in cases where accurate dimensional integrals are difficult to calculate. Some studies that have relied on this approximation include: For instance, Predo et al. (2010) [9] introduced the "Bayes estimators of modified Weibull distribution parameters using Lindley's approximation". Ilhan and Merve (2020)[13] introduced two approximation techniques, specifically Lindley's approximation and the Tierney-Kadane (TK) method. Sana and Mohammad (2021)[10] introduced the Bayesian Estimation using Lindley's approximation. Hasanain et al. (2022)[5] introduced the "Bayes estimation of Lomax parameters under different loss functions using Lindley's approximation." The Lindley's approximation is expressed as:

$$\frac{\int w(\vartheta) e^{\mathcal{L}(\vartheta)} d\vartheta}{\int v(\vartheta) e^{\mathcal{L}(\vartheta)} d\vartheta} \quad (26)$$

Where $\vartheta = (\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \dots, \vartheta_n)$ are parameters, $\mathcal{L}(\vartheta)$ represents the likelihood function's logarithm, $w(\vartheta)$ and $v(\vartheta)$ are any arbitrary functions for parameters.

Let $v(\vartheta)$ be the prior distribution of ϑ and $w(\vartheta) = v(\vartheta) \cdot u(\vartheta)$. From (33) we can get posterior expectation which is as follow:

$$\hat{u} = E(u(\alpha, \beta)) = \frac{\int_{(\alpha, \beta)} u(\alpha, \beta) \cdot e^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta) + G(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot d(\alpha, \beta)}{\int_{(\alpha, \beta)} e^{\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta) + G(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot d(\alpha, \beta)} \quad (27)$$

Where $u(\alpha, \beta)$ is a function of α and β only; $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a log of likelihood function; $G(\alpha, \beta) = \log v(\alpha, \beta)$. The Lindley approximation method yields Bayes estimators, which for the TC distribution expresses in [2],[5],[10] as follows:

$$\hat{u} = u(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} [A + \mathcal{L}_{111} B_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{222} B_{21} + \mathcal{L}_{21} C_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{12} C_{21}] + \rho_1 A_{12} + \rho_2 A_{12} \quad (28)$$

Where $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are the MLE of α and β respectively. And

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \sigma_{ij} T_{ij} : i+j=3 \\ \mathcal{L}_{ij} &= \frac{\partial^{i+j} \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha^i \partial \beta^j} \\ \rho_1 &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \alpha}, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \beta}, \quad \rho = \ln v(\alpha, \beta) \\ \sigma_1 &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha}, \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta}, \quad \sigma_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \beta_j} \\ A_{ij} &= \sigma_i \cdot T_{ij} + \sigma_j \cdot T_{ji} \\ B_{ij} &= (\sigma_i \cdot T_{ij} + \sigma_j \cdot T_{ji}) \cdot T_{ii} \\ C_{ij} &= 3\sigma_i \cdot T_{ii} \cdot T_{ij} + \sigma_i (T_{ii} \cdot T_{jj} + 2T_{ij}^2) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (29)$$

The numbers 1 and 2 on the right side of each symbol indicate to α and β respectively. Let $\vartheta_1 = \alpha$ and $\vartheta_2 = \beta$
 $\rho_i = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \vartheta_i}$, $u_i = \frac{\partial(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)}{\partial \vartheta_i}$: $i=1, 2$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 u(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)}{\partial \vartheta_i \partial \vartheta_j}; \mathcal{L}_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)}{\partial \vartheta_i \partial \vartheta_j} : i, j = 1, 2; \mathcal{L}_{ijk} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)}{\partial \vartheta_i \partial \vartheta_j \partial \vartheta_k} : i, j, k = 1, 2$$

where α_{ij} is the (i, j) th element of the inverse of the matrix $[\mathcal{L}_{ij}]$ all evaluated at the MLE of the parameters. From the joint prior distribution (30) we have

$$\rho = \ln v(\alpha, \delta, \beta) = k \ln b - \ln \beta - \ln \delta - \ln \Gamma(k) + (k-1) \ln \alpha - b \alpha$$

And then we receive

$$\rho_1 = \frac{k-1}{\alpha} - b, \rho_2 = \frac{-1}{\beta},$$

The values of $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$ can be obtained as follows for $i, j=1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{L}(x) &= -n \ln \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-e^{\left(\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}\right)} + \left(\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}\right) \right] + e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \\ \mathcal{L}_1 &= -\frac{n}{\alpha} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \left(\left((\beta - x_i) e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}} - \beta + x_i \right) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - \beta \right)}{\alpha^2} \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha} - \frac{e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ \mathcal{L}_{11} &= \frac{n}{\alpha^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left((2\beta - 2x_i) \alpha - \beta^2 + 2x_i \beta - x_i^2 \right) e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}} + (2x_i - 2\beta) \alpha \right) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - 2\beta \alpha + \beta^2}{\alpha^4} \\ \mathcal{L}_{12} &= -2 \left(\left((\beta - \alpha - x_i) e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}} + \beta + \alpha - x_i \right) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - \beta + \alpha \right) \\ \mathcal{L}_{21} &= \frac{-e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} ((\text{ff} - \text{fixi}) e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}} \text{ff}) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \text{fffi}}{\alpha^3} \\ \mathcal{L}_{22} &= -\frac{e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^2} + \frac{e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{222} &= \frac{e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^3} - \frac{e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}}}{\alpha^3} \\ \mathcal{L}_{221} &= \frac{e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} ((2\text{ff} - \text{fixi}) e^{\frac{x_i}{\alpha}} - 2\text{fffi})}{\alpha^4} = \mathcal{L}_{212} = \mathcal{L}_{122} \\ \mathcal{L}_{111} &= \frac{2n}{\alpha^3} - \frac{e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left((6\beta - 6x_i) \alpha^2 + (-6\beta^2 + 12x_i \beta - 6x_i^2) \alpha + \beta^3 - 3x_i \beta^2 + 3x_i^2 \beta - x_i^3 \right) e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}} + (6x_i - 6\beta) \alpha^2 \right) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - 6\beta \alpha^2 + 6\beta^2 \alpha + \beta^3}{\alpha^6} \\ \mathcal{L}_{112} &= \frac{2((\text{fffi} - \text{xi}) e^{\frac{x_i - 1}{\alpha}} \text{fffi} - \text{xi}) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \text{fffi}}{\alpha^4} \\ \mathcal{L}_{121} &= \frac{2 \left(\left((\alpha^2 - x_i \alpha + x_i \beta - x_i^2) e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}} - \alpha^2 + \beta \alpha + \beta^2 - x_i \beta \right) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - \alpha^2 \right)}{\alpha^2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{211} &= \frac{e^{\frac{-\beta}{\alpha}} \left(\left((2\alpha^2 + (4x_i - 4\beta) \alpha + \beta^2 - 2x_i \beta + x_i^2) e^{\frac{x_i - \beta}{\alpha}} - 2\alpha^2 \right) e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} - 2\alpha^2 + 4\beta \alpha - \beta^2 \right)}{\alpha^5} \end{aligned}$$

We can now determine the value of the Bayesian estimates of the various parameters.

5.2.2. *Bayesian estimator with SEL (Square Error Loss) function* Legendre (1805) proposed the quadratic loss function, and Gauss (1810) created the idea of least squares. The formula for the loss function of θ is [9] :

$$L(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \quad (30)$$

Where $\hat{\theta}$ is the estimate of the parameter θ . Under the above loss function the Bayes estimator of θ given by

1. If $u(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = \alpha$, then $\sigma_1 = 1$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = \sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22} = 0$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{BSEL} = E(u(\alpha, \beta)) = \hat{\alpha}_{MLE} + \frac{1}{2} [A + \mathcal{L}_{111}B_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{222}B_{21} + \mathcal{L}_{21}C_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{12}C_{21}] + \rho_1 A_{12} + \rho_2 A_{12}$$

$$A = \sigma_{12}.T_{12} + \sigma_{21}.T_{21} = 0$$

$$A_{12} = \sigma_1.T_{12} + \sigma_2.T_{21} = T_{12}$$

$$A_{21} = \sigma_2.T_{21} + \sigma_1.T_{12} = T_{21}$$

$$B_{12} = (\sigma_1.T_{12} + \sigma_2.T_{12}).T_{11} = T_{12}.T_{11}$$

$$B_{21} = (\sigma_2.T_{21} + \sigma_1.T_{21}).T_{22} = T_{21}.T_{22}$$

$$C_{12} = 3\sigma_1.T_{11}.T_{12} + \sigma_1.(T_{11}.T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2) = 3T_{11}T_{12} + (T_{11}.T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2)$$

$$C_{21} = 3\sigma_2.T_{22}.T_{21} + \sigma_2.(T_{22}.T_{11} + 2T_{21}^2) = 0$$

To compute the value of T_{11} , T_{22} , T_{12} by the equation such that:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} T_{11} &= \frac{M}{DM - V^2} \\ T_{22} &= \frac{D}{DM - V^2} \\ T_{12} &= \frac{-V}{DM - V^2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Where $M = \mathcal{L}_{11}$, $D = \mathcal{L}_{22}$, $V = \mathcal{L}_{12}$ Then the estimator α can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{BSEL} &= \hat{\alpha}_{MLE} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{L}_{111}.T_{12}.T_{11} + \mathcal{L}_{222}.T_{21}.T_{22} + \right. \\ &\quad \mathcal{L}_{21}.(3T_{11}.T_{12} + (T_{11}.T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2)) + \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{L}_{12}.(3T_{11}.T_{12} + (T_{11}.T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2)) \right] + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{k-1}{\alpha} - b \right).T_{12} - \frac{1}{\beta}.T_{21} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Assuming that b any positive number

1. If $\sigma = u(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = \beta$, then $\sigma_2 = 1$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = \sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22} = 0$

$$\hat{\beta}_{BSEL} = E(u(\alpha, \beta)) = \hat{\beta}_{MLE} + \frac{1}{2} [A + \mathcal{L}_{111}B_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{222}B_{21} + \mathcal{L}_{21}C_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{12}C_{21}] + \rho_1 A_{12} + \rho_2 A_{21}$$

$$A = \sigma_{12}.T_{12} + \sigma_{21}.T_{21} = 0$$

$$A_{12} = \sigma_1.T_{12} + \sigma_2.T_{21} = T_{12}$$

$$A_{21} = \sigma_2.T_{21} + \sigma_1.T_{12} = T_{21}$$

$$B_{12} = (\sigma_1.T_{12} + \sigma_2.T_{12}).T_{11} = T_{12}.T_{11}$$

$$B_{21} = (\sigma_2.T_{21} + \sigma_1.T_{21}).T_{22} = T_{21}.T_{22}$$

$$C_{12} = 3\sigma_1.T_{11}.T_{12} + \sigma_1.(T_{11}.T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2) = 0$$

$$C_{21} = 3\sigma_2.T_{22}.T_{21} + \sigma_2.(T_{22}.T_{11} + 2T_{21}^2) = 3T_{22}.T_{21} + (T_{22}.T_{11} + 2T_{21}^2)$$

Then the estimator β written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\beta}_{BSEL} = \widehat{\beta}_{MLE} + \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{L}_{111}.T_{12}.T_{11} + \mathcal{L}_{222}.T_{21}.T_{22} + \mathcal{L}_{12}.(3T_{22}.T_{21} + (T_{22}.T_{11} + 2T_{21}^2))] \\ + \left(\frac{k-1}{\alpha} - b\right).T_{12} - \frac{1}{\beta}.T_{21}\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

5.2.3. *Bayesian estimator with General Entropy Loss (GEL) function* The General Entropy Loss function of θ is [4] :

$$L\left(\widehat{\theta}, \theta\right) = \left(\frac{\widehat{\theta}}{\theta}\right)^k - k \ln\left(\frac{\widehat{\theta}}{\theta}\right) - 1 \quad ; k > 0 \quad (33)$$

1. If $u\left(\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}\right) = \alpha^{-k}$ then $\sigma_1 = -k\alpha^{-k-1}$, $\sigma_{11} = k(k+1)\alpha^{-k-2}$, $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\alpha}_{BGEL} = E(u(\alpha, \beta)) = \widehat{\alpha}_{MLE} + \frac{1}{2} [A + \mathcal{L}_{111}B_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{222}B_{21} + \mathcal{L}_{21}C_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{12}C_{21}] + \rho_1 A_{12} + \rho_2 A_{12} \\ A = \sigma_{12}T_{12} + \sigma_{21}T_{21} = 0 \\ A_{12} = \sigma_1 T_{12} + \sigma_2 T_{21} = -k\alpha^{-k-1}T_{12} \\ A_{21} = \sigma_2 T_{21} + \sigma_1 T_{12} = -k\alpha^{-k-1}T_{12} \\ B_{12} = (\sigma_1 T_{12} + \sigma_2 T_{12})T_{11} = -k\alpha^{-k-1}T_{12}T_{11} \\ B_{21} = (\sigma_2 T_{21} + \sigma_1 T_{21})T_{22} = -k\alpha^{-k-1}T_{21}T_{22} \\ C_{12} = 3\sigma_1 T_{11}T_{12} + \sigma_1 (T_{11}T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2) = -3(k\alpha^{-k-1})T_{11}T_{12} - k\alpha^{-k-1}(T_{11}T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2) \\ C_{21} = 3\sigma_2 T_{22}T_{21} + \sigma_2 (T_{22}T_{11} + 2T_{21}^2) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Then the estimator α can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\alpha}_{BGEL} = \widehat{\alpha}_{MLE} + \frac{1}{2} \left[-k\alpha^{-k-1}\mathcal{L}_{111}T_{12}T_{11} + k\alpha^{-k-1}\mathcal{L}_{222}T_{21}T_{22} + \mathcal{L}_{21}(3T_{11}T_{12} + (T_{11}T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2)) \right. \\ \left. - 3k\alpha^{-k-1}\mathcal{L}_{12}T_{11}T_{12} - k\alpha^{-k-1}(T_{11}T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2) \right] + \left(\frac{k-1}{\alpha} - b\right)T_{12} - \frac{1}{\beta}T_{21}\end{aligned}\quad (34)$$

1. If $\sigma = u\left(\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}\right) = \beta^{-k}$, then $\sigma_2 = -k\beta^{-(k+1)}$ and $\sigma_{22} = k(k+1)\beta^{-(k+2)}$, $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = \sigma_{11} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\beta}_{BSEL} = E(u(\alpha, \beta)) = \widehat{\beta}_{MLE} + \frac{1}{2} [A + \mathcal{L}_{111}B_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{222}B_{21} + \mathcal{L}_{21}C_{12} + \mathcal{L}_{12}C_{21}] + \rho_1 A_{12} + \rho_2 A_{21} \\ A = \sigma_{12}.T_{12} + \sigma_{21}.T_{21} = 0 \\ A_{12} = \sigma_1.T_{12} + \sigma_2.T_{21} = -k.\beta^{-(k+1)}.T_{21} \\ A_{21} = \sigma_2.T_{21} + \sigma_1.T_{12} = -k.\beta^{-(k+1)}.T_{21} \\ B_{12} = (\sigma_1.T_{12} + \sigma_2.T_{12})T_{11} = -k.\beta^{-(k+1)}.T_{12}.T_{11} \\ B_{21} = (\sigma_2.T_{21} + \sigma_1.T_{21}).T_{22} = -k.\beta^{-(k+1)}.T_{21}.T_{22} \\ C_{12} = 3\sigma_1.T_{11}.T_{12} + \sigma_1.(T_{11}.T_{22} + 2T_{12}^2) = 0 \\ C_{21} = 3\sigma_2.T_{22}.T_{21} + \sigma_2.(T_{22}.T_{11} + 2T_{21}^2) = -3k.\beta^{-(k+1)}.T_{22}.T_{21} - k.\beta^{-(k+1)}.(T_{22}.T_{11} + 2T_{21}^2)\end{aligned}$$

Then the estimator β written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\beta}_{BGEL} = E(u(\alpha, \beta)) = \widehat{\beta}_{MLE} - \frac{1}{2} \left[K.\mathcal{L}_{111}.\beta^{-(k+1)}.T_{12}.T_{11} + \mathcal{L}_{222}.\beta^{-(k+1)}.T_{21}.T_{22} \right. \\ \left. + \mathcal{L}_{12}.k.\beta^{-(k+1)}.(3T_{22}.T_{21} + T_{22}.T_{11} + 2T_{21}^2) \right] - \left(\frac{k-1}{\alpha} - b\right).k.\beta^{-(k+1)}.T_{21} + k.\beta^{-(k+2)}.T_{21}\end{aligned}\quad (35)$$

Table 1. MLE and Bayes Estimator for α and β by using Lindley Approximation when $\alpha=0.3$; $\beta=0.3, 0.6, 1.2$

	n	MLE		Bayes under SEL		Bayes under GEL		Best
		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	
0.3	5	0.48292	0.49611	0.29654	0.38384	0.22781	0.38567	GEL
	10	0.48253	0.49584	0.29647	0.38356	0.22765	0.38562	GEL
	60	0.48166	0.48667	0.29258	0.38224	0.22572	0.38552	GEL
	120	0.47643	0.48387	0.26826	0.35583	0.22279	0.35321	GEL
	150	0.47223	0.48265	0.26659	0.35279	0.22243	0.35232	GEL
0.6	200	0.46865	0.47883	0.22785	0.33729	0.22183	0.33349	GEL
	5	0.45382	0.48762	0.24748	0.38661	0.23742	0.37274	GEL
	10	0.45341	0.48722	0.24732	0.38641	0.23738	0.37258	GEL
	60	0.45216	0.48533	0.24273	0.38355	0.23662	0.37694	GEL
	120	0.39943	0.43733	0.24154	0.38254	0.23541	0.37482	GEL
1.2	150	0.39642	0.43286	0.24122	0.38215	0.23532	0.37421	GEL
	200	0.41853	0.44265	0.24715	0.38624	0.23623	0.37823	GEL
	5	0.39523	0.44382	0.29468	0.38957	0.28573	0.37106	GEL
	10	0.39512	0.44379	0.29456	0.38946	0.28561	0.37993	GEL
	60	0.39238	0.44167	0.29023	0.38733	0.28337	0.37972	GEL
	120	0.39219	0.43793	0.29016	0.38728	0.28388	0.37633	GEL
	150	0.38492	0.43266	0.29005	0.38699	0.28173	0.37724	GEL
	200	0.87934	0.43022	0.28934	0.38376	0.27934	0.37428	GEL

Table 2. MLE and Bayes Estimator for α and β by using Lindley Approximation when $\alpha=0.6$; $\beta=0.3, 0.6, 1.2$

	n	MLE		Bayes under SEL		Bayes under GEL		Best
		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	
0.3	5	0.34672	0.32856	0.44778	0.43697	0.03899	0.04986	GEL
	10	0.34657	0.32834	0.44756	0.43688	0.03893	0.04973	GEL
	60	0.34272	0.32826	0.44375	0.43634	0.03835	0.04733	GEL
	120	0.34211	0.32622	0.44278	0.43387	0.03622	0.04377	GEL
	150	0.34201	0.32552	0.44074	0.43321	0.03601	0.04332	GEL
0.6	200	0.3416	0.32534	0.44027	0.43278	0.03583	0.04301	GEL
	5	0.33852	0.32458	0.44948	0.43852	0.03836	0.04312	GEL
	10	0.33833	0.32456	0.44932	0.43845	0.03823	0.04639	GEL
	60	0.33723	0.32423	0.44836	0.43823	0.03804	0.04618	GEL
	120	0.33713	0.32287	0.44622	0.43378	0.03755	0.04522	GEL
1.2	150	0.33710	0.32253	0.44489	0.43316	0.03539	0.04498	GEL
	200	0.33678	0.32247	0.44474	0.43307	0.03524	0.04465	GEL
	5	0.33934	0.32951	0.44263	0.43945	0.02981	0.04568	GEL
	10	0.33925	0.32462	0.44256	0.43939	0.02983	0.04572	GEL
	60	0.32723	0.32412	0.43966	0.43823	0.02897	0.04539	GEL
	120	0.32549	0.32327	0.43345	0.42996	0.02366	0.04339	GEL
	150	0.32526	0.32288	0.43299	0.42723	0.02328	0.04266	GEL
	200	0.32487	0.32224	0.43257	0.42686	0.02312	0.04238	GEL

Table 3. MLE and Bayes Estimator for α and β by using Lindley Approximation when $\alpha=1.2$; $\beta=0.3, 0.6, 1.2$

	n	MLE		Bayes under SEL		Bayes under GEL		Best
		$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	$\hat{\beta}_{ML}$	
0.3	5	0.23469	0.25938	0.37836	0.39659	0.09879	0.08071	GEL
	10	0.23464	0.25935	0.37832	0.39654	0.09876	0.08068	GEL
	60	0.23452	0.25911	0.37821	0.39638	0.09836	0.08051	GEL
	120	0.23355	0.25864	0.37735	0.39618	0.09321	0.08032	GEL
	150	0.23327	0.25831	0.37719	0.39603	0.09118	0.08014	GEL
0.6	200	0.23276	0.25713	0.37694	0.39539	0.09102	0.08010	GEL
	5	0.23793	0.25762	0.37812	0.39648	0.08983	0.08015	GEL
	10	0.23789	0.25755	0.37797	0.39597	0.08975	0.08008	GEL
	60	0.23743	0.25723	0.37756	0.39549	0.08925	0.07782	GEL
	120	0.23728	0.25714	0.37748	0.39515	0.08357	0.07729	GEL
1.2	150	0.23715	0.25711	0.37721	0.39503	0.08198	0.07715	GEL
	200	0.23681	0.25693	0.37674	0.39419	0.08117	0.07686	GEL
	5	0.23823	0.25672	0.37999	0.39976	0.07885	0.06063	GEL
	10	0.23812	0.25632	0.37997	0.39963	0.07879	0.06059	GEL
	60	0.24796	0.25617	0.37978	0.39923	0.07837	0.05839	GEL
	120	0.24587	0.25585	0.38889	0.39853	0.06389	0.05698	GEL
	150	0.24531	0.25513	0.38876	0.39828	0.06103	0.05286	GEL
	200	0.24514	0.25489	0.38856	0.39769	0.06087	0.05234	GEL

6. Simulation Study

In this section, the simulation study, was performed as empirical method to determine the behavior of the MLE and Bayes Estimator for α and β by using Lindley Approximation distribution parameters for sample sizes $n = 5, 10, 60, 120, 150, 200$, with $\alpha = 0.3, 0.6$ and 1.2 ; $\beta = 0.3, 0.6$ and 1.2 . The mean square error (MSE) was used as a criterion for comparisons and evaluations. Using The simulation of (Monte Carlo), and repeat each experiment (1000) times, we obtained the results.

In Tables (1, 2 and 3): From the empirical results, we can see the following:

1. Table 1 shows that when ($\beta=0.3$), the output of the Bayesian estimator is Many (loss functions) of α and β are better than MLE. According to Table (2,3), the MLE's and Bayes estimators under (SEL) of α and β increases irregularly, Bayes estimators of α and β under (GEL) decreases.
2. Tables (1,2,3) show that the Bayesian estimator with GEL is a better estimator compared to other estimators for all sample sizes.
3. Note that the increase in β is due to the (MSE) values for different values β and all sample sizes.
4. shows that the values associated with the parameters decrease with increasing sample size.

REFERENCES

1. Alves, M. I. F. & Neves, C., *Extreme Value Distributions*, International encyclopedia of statistical science, 2, 493-496, 2011.
2. S. M. Faizan and A. A. Khan, *BAYESIAN ESTIMATION USING LINDLEY'S APPROXIMATION OF*, TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, vol. 13, pp. 65-73, 2023.
3. M. Ahsanullah, *Extreme Value Distributions: Atlantis Studies in Probability and Statistics*, paris: Atlantis Press, 2017.
4. A. Ahmad, K. Fatima and S. P. Ahmad, *Lindley Approximation Technique for the Parameters of Lomax Distribution*, International Journal of Statistics in Medical Research, vol. 6, pp. 162-168, 2017.
5. W. S. Hasanain, N. O. Al-Ghezi and A. M. Soady, *Bayes estimation of Lomax parameters under different loss functions using Lindley's approximation*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 48, pp. 630-640, 2022.

6. S. Kotz and S. Nadarajah, *Extreme Value Distributions Theory and Applications*, London: Imperial College Press, 2000.
7. E. F. Muhi, N. A. Oleiwi and N. Al-khairullah, *A New Family of Power Function- Lindley Distribution*, *Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities*, vol. 27, 2024.
8. N. A. Oleiwi, E. F. Muhi, N. Al-khairullah, *A new family of semicircular generalized Rayleigh distribution*, *The International Scientific Conference of Engineering Sciences and Advanced Technologies AIP Conf. Proc.* 3229, 080026-1-080026, 2024.
9. V. Preda, E. Panaitescu and A. Constantinescu, *Bayes estimators of Modified-Weibull distribution parameters using Lindley's approximation*, *WSEAS TRANSACTIONS ON MATHEMATICS*, 2010.
10. S. Sana and M. Faizan, *Bayesian Estimation using Lindley's Approximation*, *Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability*, vol. 10, pp. 61-75, 2021.
11. H. Maatouk, D. Rulli  re, and X. Bay, *Truncated multivariate normal distribution under nonlinear constraints*, 2024.
12. S. Baran, P. Szokol, and M. Szab  , *Truncated generalized extreme value distribution-based ensemble model output statistics model for calibration of wind speed ensemble forecasts*, *Environmetrics*, vol. 32, no. 6, p. e2678, 2021.
13. I. Usta, and A. Merve, *Approximate Bayes estimators of the parameters of the inverse Gaussian distribution under different loss functions*, *Journal of Reliability and Statistical Studies* 87-112, 2020.
14. S. Peng. and Wan, Z., *Truncation point estimation of truncated normal samples and its applications*, *AIMS Mathematics*, 7(10), 19083-19104, 2022.
15. P. Cao, M. Tomio, and M. Takayuki, *Modeling distribution of travel time in signalized road section using truncated distribution*, *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 138, 137-147, 2014.
16. W. C. Horrace, *Moments of the truncated normal distribution*, *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 43, 133-138, 2015.
17. S. Nadarajah, *Some truncated distributions*, *Acta applicandae mathematicae*, 106, 105-123, 2009.
18. S. Aryuyuen , *Truncated two-parameter Lindley distribution and its application*, *Journal of Applied Science and Emerging Technology* 17.1, 19-32, 2018.
19. M. Kouadria, and Z. Halim, *The truncated new-XLindley distribution with applications*, *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications* 34.3 2025.
20. J. Beirlant, I. F. Alves, and I. Gomes, *Tail fitting for truncated and non-truncated Pareto-type distributions*, *Extremes* 19, 429-462, 2016.
21. A. S. Hassan, M. A. Sabry, and A. M. Elsehetry, *A new family of upper-truncated distributions: properties and estimation*, *Thailand Statistician*, 18(2), 196-214, 2020.
22. T. Zhang, and X. Min, *On the upper truncated Weibull distribution and its reliability implications*, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 96.1, 194-200, 2011.
23. N. Chopin, *Fast simulation of truncated Gaussian distributions*, *Statistics and Computing* 21, 275-288, 2011.
24. R. Krenek, J. Cha, B. R. Cho and J. L. Sharp, *Development of statistical convolutions of truncated normal and truncated skew normal distributions with applications*, *Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice* 11, 1-25, 2017.
25. K. Krishnakumari, and G. Dais, *A NEW TRUNCATED PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION: MODEL, PROPERTIES, ROBUSTNESS STUDY AND APPLICATION*, *Advances and Applications in Statistics* 91.6, 739-760, 2024.